

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No. 4499 of 2008 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 10, 2018

Gulab Rai

..... Appellant

v.

Satbir and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : None for the appellant.

Mr. Neeraj Khanna, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

On 7.8.2018, the following order was passed :-

“ The matter has been listed because service upon respondent No.2 could not be effected since it is reported that he was not residing at the given address. Since the claim petition was dismissed and respondent No.2 was the owner of the offending vehicle, service on him has to be effected.

Counsel for the appellant seeks one more opportunity of four weeks to ascertain and provide the correct address of respondent No.2 or to move an appropriate application. In case, correct address is furnished, fresh notice be issued for a farzi date viz 4.12.2018, otherwise list the matter before the Bench on 10.9.2018.”

As per the office report, process fee has not been filed.

Dismissed for non prosecution.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

September 10, 2018
`kk'

**Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**

