

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No.23504 of 2011 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.04.2018**

Geeta Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present:- Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashwani K. Antil, Advocate and
Mr. Gautam Kaile, Advocate for respondent Nos.8, 9, 11, 12,
14, 16, 18 to 20, 22, 27, 28, 30 to 32, 34, 36, 37, 38, 41, 44 to 46,
49 to 53, 55 to 57

Mr. Shashi Kumar Yadav, Advocate for respondent No.13.

Mr. S.S.Kharb, Advocate for respondent No.17.

Mr. Mahender Singh Chahal, Advocate for respondent No.35.

Mr. Badal Malik, Advocate for
Mr. Shilak Ram Hooda, Advocate for respondent No.42.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed for quashing the selection of respondent Nos.6 to 56 to the post of Constables in the Haryana Police and seeking direction to the official respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment to the post of Constable from the date of selection of other candidates, who have been appointed vide Advertisement dated 21.07.2008 (Annexure P-1).

Several reasons exist for dismissing the present petition, *firstly*, the petitioner has participated in the selection and has not made it to the select list.

She cannot turn around and challenge the selection or the selection process. Secondly, she has not attributed and alleged any *mala fides* against any person associated in the recruitment process including the Members of the Selection Committee. She is, therefore, estopped from assailing the selection. The only argument raised is that five candidates have secured 12 marks out of 20 in the Physical Measurement Test (PMT) but have been awarded 14 out of 15 marks in the interview which is excessive while the petitioner has secured 16 marks in the PMT but has been given only a meagerly 7.5 marks out of 20 in the interview to oust her from the selection and this has been done deliberately and intentionally to harm the petitioner. No foundation has been laid to support these allegations for the Court to accept the prayer for setting aside the selection of the candidates in the recruitment of Female Constables (General Duty) in the Haryana Police when a total 1067 vacancies were advertised. The petitioner does not complain against 487 selected candidates of General Category but only against 05. This Court is not possessed with any tool to go behind the selection and to grant relief to the petitioner.

In view of the aforesaid reasons, I do not find any strong and cogent ground to quash the impugned selection and to accommodate the claim of the petitioner.

Dismissed.

April 16, 2018

Gagan

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>