

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

104

CRR-811-2018  
Date of Decision: April 30, 2018

Krishan Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Ravinder Rana, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

By way of this revision petition, the petitioners seek to set aside the judgment dated 02.02.2018 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Jhajjar, whereby the appeal of the petitioners was partly allowed and petitioners No.2 to 4 were ordered to be released on probation as also the judgment of conviction dated 28.04.2017 and order of sentence dated 29.04.2017, passed by Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jhajjar, whereby petitioner No.1 was ordered to be released on probation and petitioners No. 2 to 4 were sentenced as under:-

| Sections of IPC | SI         | Fine     | In default of payment of fine |
|-----------------|------------|----------|-------------------------------|
| 323/34          | Six months | ₹ 1000/- | Twenty days                   |
| 506/34          | Six months | ₹ 1000/- | Twenty days                   |

Both the sentences were ordered to run concurrently.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been wrongly convicted as the evidence on record has not been properly appreciated.

I cannot accept the submission of learned counsel for the reason that in exercise of revisional jurisdiction, this Court cannot re-appreciate the evidence on record. Learned counsel for the petitioners has failed to point out any perversity in the impugned judgment/order and therefore, no ground is made out to interfere therewith.

Accordingly, the instant revision petition is dismissed.

April 30, 2018

*sonia arora*

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]**  
**JUDGE**

|                             |     |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes |
| Whether Reportable :        | No  |