

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

104

CRR-656-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: April 25, 2018

Nachhattar Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Ms. Jagriti Kalia, Advocate for
Mr. N.S. Bhullar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has been convicted under section 326 IPC and sentenced to undergo RI for a period of two years and to pay fine of ₹ 500/-. In default of payment of fine, it has been ordered that he would undergo RI for one month. His appeal has also been rejected.

I have gone through the judgments with the assistance of the learned counsel for the petitioner. Both the Courts below have based their findings upon the medical evidence, which is corroborated by the ocular evidence. Learned counsel has not been able to point out any evidence that may have been over-looked or any evidence, which may have been misread. There is no perversity in the judgments of the Courts below and therefore, I do not see any reason to interfere therewith.

The petition is, therefore, dismissed.

April 25, 2018

sonia arora

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

Whether Reportable :

No