

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Revn. No. 642 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 05.10.2018**

Parveen Yadav

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Seema Yadav

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Gurinderpal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner states that though he had been asked to place on record the affidavit but he has to raise another issue and it is with respect to the territorial jurisdiction of the Court which awarded maintenance and he may be heard on merits.

The petitioner had been asked to place on record the affidavit disclosing his properties and the proof of the expenses he was making on the education of his children as the petitioner had assailed the order vide which maintenance had been awarded by the trial Court which was affirmed in appeal. The case was adjourned a number of times and the affidavit was not filed. The case was adjourned on 16.07.2018 and cost were imposed and it was made clear that in case the documents are not filed an adverse view would be taken. On the last hearing there was a request on behalf of the petitioner.

The case is being adjourned since February 2018 to enable the petitioner to give his affidavit disclosing his income besides other facts. He has failed to comply with the order. The matter has been adjourned three times. Last opportunity was granted on 16.07.2018 making it clear that an adverse view would be taken against the petitioner if the affidavit was not filed. The affidavit has still not been filed. The petitioner is not entitled to a hearing. The petition is dismissed in limine.

05.10.2018

Sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No