

207-2 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR No.50 of 2018

Date of Decision : 04.05.2018

Rinku

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate
for the complainant.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Perused the Case Diary and also the deposition of the complainant-
Vijay Kumar as PW-1 in the learned trial Court.

Admittedly, the petitioner was not named in the original FIR.

He was a juvenile at the relevant time and was not named in the FIR nor
there is any description of his actual role in the complainant's deposition.

The Special Investigation Report had gone in his favour as noted by the
learned trial Court in Para 7 of the impugned order itself.

Considering the detention undergone by the petitioner at this stage, he
will be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Ld. Trial Court but with a specific
direction that his father/natural guardian shall have to submit an appropriate affidavit
of undertaking to take care of his conduct and whereabouts during pendency of the
trial.

The revision thus stands allowed after setting aside the impugned order
dated 19.12.2017.

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

04.05.2018

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No