

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision : 27.03.2018

CWP No.13034 of 2016

Dharambir

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CWP No.13087 of 2016

Ram Saran

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CWP No.13172 of 2016

Dharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CWP No.23841 of 2016

Pala Ram

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. R.S.Mamli, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. R.K.Doon, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Takdeer Pannu, Advocate for
Mr. H.P.S. Ishar, Advocate for respondent No.4
in CWP No.13034-2016.
Mr. Pale Ram, Advocate for
Mr. J.S.Mannipur, Advocate for respondent No.5.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner(s) prays for a direction to the respondents to consider his claim for regularization of his services from the due date with all consequential benefits, particularly, for, the juniors to the petitioner(s) have since been regularized. The petitioner(s) purports to have even repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard. But to no avail.

Record shows that notice of motion was issued in the matter on 29.08.2017, but no written statement has been filed on behalf of the respondents, so far. In the given situation, learned State counsel submits that these petitions itself shall be considered by the respondents as representation on behalf of the petitioner(s), and their claim/grievance shall be dealt with within a period of three months from today. And, the respondents shall pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that these petitions be disposed of, in terms of the statement of the learned State counsel.

Ordered accordingly.

27.03.2018

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No