

231

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 12903 OF 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 04.12.2018**

Gurudin

...Petitioner

versus

**The Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh
and others**

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. M. K. Tiwari, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, Advocate,
for the respondents.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

CM NO. 10876 OF 2018

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is
allowed and Annexures R-5 to R-7 are taken on record, subject to
all just exceptions.

MAIN CASE

During the pendency of writ petition, respondent No.1
has passed an order dated 21.04.2017 wherein entire issue has
been threshold gone into and a speaking order has been passed.
A copy whereof was also supplied to the petitioner on
22.04.2017, as is borne out from Annexure R-5, wherein the
petitioner has put his signatures.

When confronted with the query as to why no steps
have been taken by the petitioner to impugn the said order,
learned counsel for the petitioner makes an oral prayer to amend

the writ petition so as to impugn the said order dated 21.04.2017.

In these circumstances, since no amendment application has been filed despite supply of impugned order way back on 22.04.2017, it is more appropriate that the present writ petition be dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to impugn the order dated 21.04.2017, which has been passed during the pendency of instant writ petition. All the contentions of the petitioner raised herein are kept open.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to impugn the order dated 21.04.2017.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

DECEMBER 04, 2018
shalini

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable : | Yes/No |