

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****Date of decision: 30.07.2018****CWP No.14386 of 2014**

Ishwar Dass

...Petitioner

Vs.

Managing Director, UHBVNL & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. J.S.Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate, for the respondents.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited (for short 'the Nigam') in its written statement has taken a preliminary objection that the petition is misconceived since the arrears of revised pay from 1996 to 2006 have been paid to the petitioner on his reinstatement to service and thereafter from 2007 to 2015 the position is the same. The amounts in respect of LTC have also been disbursed says the Nigam.

Heard.

If there are minor calculation issues, then they can easily be sorted out in the office of the Nigam with opportunity to the petitioner to produce his calculation-sheet. If the calculation-sheet of the petitioner is found to be correct, then he can be paid the outstanding amount.

It is too early to express any opinion on the request for interest since it is yet to be determined as to whether anything is owed to the

petitioner. In case, amounts are due, the Nigam may consider grant of interest at the rate of 6% per annum on delayed payment till realization.

With these observations, the instant petition stands disposed of.

30.07.2018
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No