

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-1438-2017**

**DATE OF DECISION :- January 11, 2018**

Prince

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN**

Present: Mr. Rajesh Nain, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. K.V. Tyagi, Advocate,  
for respondents No. 3 to 4.

\*\*\*

Respondents No. 3 and 4 have brought alleged detainee Pratibha to the Court. Pratibha has been asked as to whether she has got any relation with the petitioner, to which she has replied that she had married with Prince on 19.08.2017 against the wishes of her family members, but now she does not want to speak to the petitioner and rather wants to go alongwith respondents No. 3 and 4. She further states that she is residing with her parental family including respondents No. 3 and 4 of her own and is not being illegally detained by them. She states that she is aged about 18 years.

In the written reply filed by the official respondents, it is clearly mentioned that the statement of detainee Pratibha was got recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. by the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Jind whereas she stated that she wants to reside with her parents at village

Dalaipur (U.P.). Copy of that statement has been annexed alongwith other documents. It being so, no further action in the petition is called for. Petitioner may take recourse to remedy available to him under law, whereas Pratibha is allowed to go along with respondents No.3 and 4.

The petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(H.S. MADAAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**11.01.2018**

*neeraj*

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No