

223

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.1128 of 2017

Date of Decision: 01.02.2018

Kuldip

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. A.S. Trikha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG, Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

This petition has been filed to quash the impugned order dated 11.08.2017 attached as Annexure P1 to the petition.

It appears that prayer for grant of parole was rejected vide this impugned order. The first reply was filed by the Superintendent Jail, District Karnal on 29.10.2017 and thereafter, second reply was filed on 04.11.2017, wherein it was clarified that the furlough release case of the petitioner was initiated by the answering respondent under Section 4 of the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1988 and the same was forwarded to the District Magistrate,

Panipat for necessary verification. It appears that there is some confusion with regard to claim of the petitioner viz-a-viz parole or furlough. The prayer for parole was rejected, however there is no reference to the rejection of furlough.

Be that as it may, furlough is a reward for good conduct and the same has no relevancy with the alleged apprehension of disturbance of peace and tranquility in the village.

This petition can be disposed of by directing the District Magistrate, Panipat to consider the case of the petitioner for grant of furlough in accordance with law and pass appropriate order within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

February 01, 2018
Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No