

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1095-2016

DATE OF DECISION :- January 24, 2018

BEENA

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAN

Present: Ms. Rosi, Advocate,
for Mr. M.D. Khan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Learned State counsel has submitted that alleged detainee, Kusum Devi alongwith Bhim Singh had approached this Court by way of filing petition seeking protection and he has placed on record photocopy of the order passed in petition No. CRM-M-36143-2017, which was disposed of by a Coordinate Bench of this Court concluding para being as under:-

*“Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the validity of the marriage and keeping in view the mandate of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P and another, JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent No.2 Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondent(s).”*

It being so, the present criminal writ petition has become infructuous. Although, learned counsel for the petitioner states

that Kusum Devi is minor and her statement has not been recorded by the Police till now. But no further direction in this matter is required to be given.

The petition stands dismissed being infructuous.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

24.01.2018

neeraj

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No