

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP- 12238-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 11.9.2018.

Ravinder and ors

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and ors

....Respondents.

**CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B.CHAUDHARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: - Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

A.B.Chaudhari, J.

Heard, learned counsel for the petitioners at length.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has vehemently argued that in *LPA No. 972 of 2016* titled as '*Abdul Aleem and others versus Ombir and others*', this Court had made an order on 26.7.2018 and the present petitioners may be also given the benefit of the said order. He further submits that HTET test was not the requisite qualification required by the advertisement in question and therefore, respondents could not have insisted accordingly.

We have examined the advertisement and we do not agree with the counsel for the petitioners. The petitioners did not have the requisite qualification for even making an application pursuant to the said advertisement issued in the year 2012.

Therefore, petitioners cannot be allowed to compete with the original petitioners in *LPA No. 972-2016*.

Be that at it may. The matter has become stale as it relates to the year 2012. Obviously in the interregnum number of persons must have qualified to compete.

We therefore, do not find any merit in the present petition. We however, grant opportunity to the petitioners to apply if they are eligible in the next advertisement if the same is issued by the government.

Disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

(A.B.CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

11.9.2018
preeti

whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
whether reportable	yes/no