

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

AMIT KUMAR
2018-11-14:16
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

**CWP No. 12210 of 2016
Date of decision: 13.11.2018**

Aseem Takyar

... Petitioner

Versus

Indian Red Cross Society, Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI,
CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE**

Present: Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate, and
Ms. Shalini Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

The petitioner, who purports to have approached this Court in public interest, seeks a writ of Mandamus directing an independent agency like CBI etc. to carry out investigation as regards misappropriation/misutilisation of funds of Indian Red Cross Society, Gurgaon, by respondent No.5 and other concerned officials.

Besides the other issues and concerns raised by the petitioner, in the written statement filed on behalf of the respondents on 4.8.2016, it is conceded that an accommodation, which forms part of the Red Cross Society Bhawan, Gurgaon, had since been allotted to the Secretary of the Society (respondent No.5), though temporarily, for his residence, and is being used as such.

Upon being asked, learned State counsel expresses his inability to clarify if respondent No.5 still continues to occupy the said accommodation or the alleged temporary arrangement has ceased to exist, and he has vacated the premises. Surprisingly, though serious allegations have been made against respondent No.5, who has been arrayed by name, but the written

statement has been filed on behalf of all the respondents, including the State of Haryana.

Faced with this, learned State counsel submits that as the petition at hands is pending for over 2½ years and has not made any tangible progress, let the respondent authorities examine the issue as also the grievances of the petitioner, in the first instance, and pass appropriate orders.

The course suggested by the learned State counsel is accepted by the learned counsel for the petitioner, who submits that let this petition be disposed of with a direction to the respondent authorities to consider this petition as his representation and appropriate orders be passed within a specified time.

In the wake of the above, we dispose of this petition, at this stage, with a direction to the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Disaster Management (respondent No.6.) to examine the grievances of the petitioner and the concern raised in this petition and pass appropriate orders, after affording an opportunity of hearing to all the stakeholders within a period of eight weeks from today. Needless to assert that a comprehensive order shall be passed by assigning reasons in support thereof.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

November 13, 2018
AK Sharma

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO