

221-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12106-2016

Date of decision-17.04.2018

Gurpreet Singh

Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. H.C.Arora, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Monica Chibber, Senior DAG, Punjab.

None for respondent Nos.4 and 5.

Mr. Amandeep Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. P.S.Khurana, Advocate for respondent No.6.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of certiorari for quashing the selection and appointment of respondent Nos.4 to 6 as Junior Coach made in pursuance to advertisement No.3 of 2012 (Annexure P-1) issued by respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioner has been issued appointment letter.

In view of the above, present petition has been rendered infructuous. However, learned counsel at this stage submits that the seniority

of the petitioner be protected and he be deemed to be selected from the date the candidates lower in the merit were appointed.

Heard.

As the substantial relief prayed for has already been granted, the petitioner if so advised may represent to the department for redressal of his remaining grievance, if any. In the eventuality of filing such representation, the respondents will look into the matter and decide the same as per law expeditiously.

Disposed of.

However, the State shall pay ₹25,000/- towards costs of litigation to the petitioner after recovering the same from the official who misconducted himself in drawing the merit list against law. State shall not reimburse the amount so recovered to the official concerned in any manner and the same be reflected in his service book as well.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

17.04.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No