

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR(F)-348-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: March 12, 2018

Naresh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Rosy

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- None.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a revision that has been filed seeking to challenge the impugned judgment dated 12.08.2016 whereby, maintenance amount of Rs.2500/- per month has been considered the bare minimum, which the petitioner has been directed to pay.

This matter was initially taken up on 31.08.2017 and adjourned to 12.10.2017, on account of non-availability of counsel for the petitioner. On 12.10.2017, no one put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner and the matter was deferred to 14.11.2017. On the said date, again there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and the matter was deferred to 20.12.2017. On 20.12.2017, learned counsel for the petitioner has sought time to explore the possibility of amicable settlement and the matter was adjourned to 07.02.2018. On 07.02.2018, this court noted that again there was no appearance on behalf of the petitioner even in the second round and

in the interest of justice, the matter stood adjourned to 12.03.2018.

Today, despite the matter being called twice, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Under these circumstances, when the interest of justice has already been extended to its farthest possible extent, this court has left with no option, but to dismiss the instant revision for want of prosecution.

Ordered accordingly.

March 12, 2018

vijay saini

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No