

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
 CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 10.01.2018

CWP No.16091 of 2013

Shanti Devi & others ...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others ...Respondents

CWP No.18966 of 2014

Rajesh Kumar & others ...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others ...Respondents

CWP No.24530 of 2015

Daya Chand ...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Arvind Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioners
 in CWP Nos.16091 of 2013 & 18966 of 2014.

Mr. J.P.Dhull, Advocate, for the petitioner
 in CWP No.24530 of 2015.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

This order will dispose of the aforementioned three writ petitions, as the cause they espouse and the factual and legal issue involved

CWP No.16091 of 2013;
CWP No.18966 of 2014 &
CWP No.24530 of 2015

in all the cases is common and identical and therefore they can be conveniently decided by a single order.

The State has given an undertaking in the respective written statements filed in these three cases that as and when vacancies arise, the petitioners' services would be regularized as per their inter se seniority. The rights of the petitioners would depend on posts falling vacant having regard to their educational qualifications in their respective cadres.

With this undertaking, the petitions stand disposed of.

However, the respondent Department will submit a status report to this Court every six months. If the petitioners have any specific grievance not redressed, the aggrieved person may approach this Court.

10.01.2018
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No