

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11394 of 2016  
Date of decision: 23.04.2018

Suraj Mal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr. Jai Bhagwan Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. RK Doon, AAG, Haryana.

**ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner concedes that the matter in issue, in the present case, is dissimilar to the one involved in CWP No.27383 of 2015 (Bhagwan Dass v. State of Haryana and others) and, therefore, this petition be de-tagged and heard separately.

Ordered, accordingly.

It is urged that respondents be directed to consider the claim of the petitioner to grant him the deemed date of appointment, as has been granted to the other appointed candidates, pursuant to the selection list dated July, 1988.

In response, learned State counsel submits that claim/grievance of the petitioner shall be considered and duly dealt with by the respondents within a period of three months from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms.

Needless to assert that in the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent-authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

23.04.2018

Rajan

**( Arun Palli )  
Judge**

Whether speaking / reasoned:  
Whether Reportable:

YES  
NO