

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

115+227

CRM No.12226 of 2018 and
Criminal Revision No.4890 of 2017

Date of Decision: April 6th, 2018

Vishnu and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kuldeep Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Vashishth, Advocate
for the applicant-complainant.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

CRM No.12226 of 2018

Prayer in this application is for placing on record the compromise dated 29.01.2018 (Annexure P-1), which has been entered into between the parties, which is in the form of affidavit of complainant-Chander Mohan son of Chhotu Ram.

Present application is allowed.

The affidavit of complainant is taken on record.

CRR No.4890 of 2017

Challenge in this revision petition is to the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 20.05.2015 and 21.05.2015 respectively passed by the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Fatehabad, which have been upheld by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Fatehabad, on 18.12.2017, while dismissing the appeal of the petitioner.

the petitioners and complainant-Chander Mohan son of Chhotu Ram have entered into a compromise. Petitioners have been convicted and sentenced for the offences under Sections 147, 323, 341 and 427 IPC for a period of six months with the sentence being ordered to run concurrently.

Fine stands already paid by the convicts as is apparent from the order passed by the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Fatehabad.

Counsel for the petitioners states that in the light of the compromise, which has been entered into between the parties, the petitioners be sentenced for the period already undergone.

Counsel for complainant-Chander Mohan son of Chhotu Ram acknowledges the factum of compromise, which has been entered into between the parties and also accepts the copy of the affidavit dated 29.01.2018 (Annexure P-1) appended along with the application.

In view of the above, the present revision petition is partly allowed.

The conviction of the petitioners is upheld, however, the sentence of the petitioners is reduced to the period already undergone.

April 6th, 2018
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No