

	Date of Decision: 07.03.2018	
1)	Crl. Revision No.4660 of 2017 (O&M)	
Ashok Kumar		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Punjab and another		...Respondents
2)	Crl. Revision No.4661 of 2017	
Ashok Kumar		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Punjab and another		...Respondents

Present:- Mr. Jaideep Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. J.S. Walia, Sr. DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Manoj Kumar Mehan, Advocate and
Mr. Himanshu Chhabra, Advocate, for the complainant.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Notice having been issued in these petitions, Mr. Manoj Kumar Mehan, Advocate, appears for respondent no.2, i.e. the complainant in the complaints instituted under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, and has filed his power of attorney, which is taken on record, with the complainant himself also present in Court.

Vikas Chander
2018.03.09 14:36
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

conviction by the trial court, upheld by the appellate court, the parties have reached a compromise with each other, with the entire amount in dispute having been paid to the complainant. Learned counsel for the complainant does not deny the aforesaid.

The complainant, Mohan Parjapati, who has produced his original Aadhaar Card by way of identification, has been specifically asked by this Court as to whether he has any objection to the petitioner being acquitted in view of the compromise reached, to which he has stated that he does not object to the acquittal, the matter having been fully compromised between them. He further states that he has arrived at a compromise with the petitioner, represented by his son, wholly on his own volition.

That being so and the offence in question being compoundable, at any stage, in terms of Section 147 of the Act of 1881, the revision petitions are taken up today for final disposal with the consent of the parties, and the delay of 1117 days in filing CRR no.4660 of 2017 and CRR no.4661 of 2017 is condoned.

The petitions are allowed and the judgments of the learned Courts below are set aside, and the complaints instituted by respondent no.2 are hereby quashed.

Consequently, the petitioner is directed to be released from custody forthwith.

07.03.2018
vegarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no