

Date of decision:07.05.2018

Subhash Chand and others

...PETITIONERS

Vs.

State of Haryana

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Kalsy, Advocate,
for Mr. Manoj Kumar Palwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in this petition is to the order dated 12.03.2018 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, vide which two applications preferred by the petitioners, one under Section 91 Cr. P.C. and the other under Section 294 Cr. P.C., have been dismissed.

Having considered the submissions made by the counsel for the petitioners, this Court is satisfied with regard to the legality of the order dated 12.03.2018, which has been passed by the trial Court. However, in the given facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is of the opinion that the observations made by the learned trial Court, in its order dated 12.03.2018 especially in para-10, shall have no bearing either on the trial or on the defence, which may be taken by the petitioners at the appropriate stage of the trial.

Petition stands disposed of with above observations.

May 07, 2018

pj

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No