

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
CWP-12525-2014

Date of Decision: March 19, 2018

Kusum Lata and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Chandra Sekhar, Advocate,
for Mr. Umesh Narang, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

On May 04, 2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

*“ There is yet another request for adjournment by
counsel for the petitioners. On the last date, the
following order was passed:-*

*“ On request of learned counsel for the
petitioners, adjourned to 04.05.2017.*

*As the instant petition is pending for
motion hearing since 2014, it is made clear that
no further adjournment shall be granted.*

*A photocopy of this order be placed on the
file of another connected cases.”*

*In these circumstances, I see no reason to grant
further time. However, on the request of counsel for*

***the petitioners, these cases are adjourned to 21.11.2017
subject to payment of ₹2500/- as costs in each case to be
deposited with the Reconciliation and Mediation Centre
of this Court. Photocopy of this order be placed on the
connected matters.”***

Office report indicates that the costs, in terms of the said order, has not been deposited, though almost a year has gone by. Rather, an adjournment is prayed for.

In view of the above, this Court is choice-less, but to dismiss this petition for non-prosecution

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

March 19, 2018

P Kapoor

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES / NO

Whether Reportable: YES / NO