

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-4381-2017

Date of decision : 30.01.2018

Sarjit

... Petitioner

Versus

Bhagat Singh and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate
for respondents no.1(i) to (iv).

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner and legal heirs of the complainant, who are duly impleaded as respondents no.1(i) to (iv) (the first respondent-complainant having died), are seen to have compromised the dispute with each other as per the affidavit of the widow of the complainant, Rajinder Kaur, (it being on record as Annexure P-5 and the compromise deed as Annexure P-4). The power of attorney in favour of learned counsel appearing for them is seen to be duly signed by all four legal heirs.

Hence, I see no reason to not allow the revision petition in terms of Section 147 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, the offence being compoundable at any stage.

Consequently, this petition is allowed and the criminal complaint itself instituted against the petitioner by the deceased respondent,

sentence imposed upon him. Bail bonds and surety bonds would be discharged as per procedure.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

January 30, 2018.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No