

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR-1244-2018 (O&M)  
Date of decision-04.12.2018**

**Pritam Singh**

**...Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**State of Haryana and another**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present: Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen K. Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*

**MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)**

The petition has been filed to challenge the order dated 23.01.2018 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat, whereby charges have been framed against the petitioner under Section 3 of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (in short 'of the Act') registered at Police Station Samalkha, Panipat.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has contended that the trial Court has framed the charges in a very casual manner as the record of the case has not been examined or evaluated to form an opinion for framing the charges. Even charge sheet which has been drawn pursuant to the order dated 23.01.2018 is vague and indicates that the petitioner has allegedly committed an offence punishable under Section 3 of

the Act. The Court has not specified the particular offence which allegedly has been committed by the petitioner (accused).

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant is also unable to support the impugned order. Since the order of framing of charges dated 23.01.2018 is non-speaking and does not indicate that the material on record was examined, therefore, same is set aside. It is directed that the Trial Court shall pass the order afresh, after taking into account the entire material on record before forming an opinion on the subject by way of speaking order.

Disposed off.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)  
JUDGE

04.12.2018  
vanita

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |