

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No. M-266 of 2006

Date of Decision: 12.11.2018

SUDERSHAN KUMAR GIRDHAR

....Appellant

VS.

BIMLA

...Respondent

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN.**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. J.S. Thind, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. N.K. Malhotra, Advocate,
for the respondent.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the appellant has submitted that the appellant is not in contact with him, therefore, he has prayed that the present appeal may be dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to file the an appropriate application for its revival in case he is still willing to pursue the present appeal.

We order accordingly.

[RAKESH KUMAR JAIN]
JUDGE

November 12, 2018

Ess Kay

[ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No