

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

235

Criminal Revision No.4104 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: April 3rd, 2018

Bikramjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Darshan Singh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Avtar S. Khinda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Respondent No.1 in person.

Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

On the last date of hearing, when the case came up for hearing,
following order was passed:-

“Custody certificate is taken on record.

*A demand draft No.408846 dated 18.01.2018
amounting to ₹3,75,000/- has been handed over to
Darshan Singh son of Tara Singh-respondent No.1, who is
the complainant.*

*Complainant states that he is ready to compromise the
matter if a total amount of ₹7,80,000/- is paid which would
include the cheque amount as well as the Court fee, which
offer made by the complainant is accepted by the counsel for
the petitioner, who states that the petitioner be granted
interim bail to make arrangements for the remaining amount
which would be paid to respondent No.1 within two months
from the date of his release from the custody.*

*In view of the above, petitioner is directed to be
released on bail to the satisfaction of
Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kapurthala, till 17.04.2018.*

*Adjourned to **03.04.2018** for further consideration and
payment of remaining amount.”*

In compliance with the above observations and the compromise
which was sought to be entered into between the parties, a demand draft

dated 31.03.2018 drawn in the name of the complainant Darshan Singh son of Tara Singh amounting to ₹4,05,000/- has been produced in Court, which has been handed over to Darshan Singh son of Tara Singh.

In the light of the above, Darshan Singh-respondent No.1-complainant states that he has no objection in case the sentence of the applicant-appellant is reduced to the one already undergone. He further states that he would withdraw the civil suit, which has been preferred by him for recovery of the said amount of ₹7,50,000/-.

In the light of the above, as the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, the conviction of the petitioner is upheld, however, the sentence stands reduced to the period already undergone.

Bail/surety bonds furnished by the petitioner stand discharged.

The revision petition stands disposed of.

In the light of the disposal of the petition, application for suspension of sentence i.e. CRM No.35867 of 2017 has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as such.

April 3rd, 2018
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No