

**1 CRM-W-67-2018 in CRWP-710-2017
ANIL KUMAR VS STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**

**PRESENT Mr.K.P.S.Virk, Advocate,
 for the applicant-petitioner.**

Mr.S.S.Pannu, D.A.G. Haryana.

On account of non-appearance of counsel for the applicant-petitioner on two consecutive dates, the petition seeking parole was dismissed on 3.4.2018.

Counsel for the applicant-petitioner has submitted that legal question regarding applicability of rule of prospectivity had been raised pertaining to the notification of the year 2013 defining “hardcore criminal”. Counsel for the applicant-petitioner has explained the reasons for non-appearance, as such we are of the opinion that the criminal writ petition deserves to be restored and heard on merits.

The misc. application is allowed.

The criminal writ petition is ordered to be restored at its original number for hearing on merits.

Be listed as per roster.

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**

**July 13, 2018.
raj arora**

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**