

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-10339-2016

Date of Decision:06.04.2018

Ishwar Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Ms. Abha Rathore, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pritam Saini, Advocate
for the respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that all the grievances of the petitioner has been settled during pendency of this petition except regularization. Therefore, concerned respondent is hereby directed to consider and pass a speaking order whether petitioner is entitled to regularization in terms of the Policy dated 1.10.2003 or not since the grievance of the petitioner relating to regularization is that his case is required to be examined with reference to regularization policy dated 1.10.2003 which was in vogue when the petitioner was in service. Speaking order shall be passed within a period of four months from today.

At this stage, learned counsel for the respondents submitted that speaking order has been passed in favour of the petitioner in respect of regularization. Let the same be communicated to the petitioner at the earliest.

With the above observation, petition stands disposed of.

If the petitioner feels aggrieved by the speaking order relating to regularization as stated by the learned counsel for the respondents, he is at liberty to approach this Court by filing a fresh petition in accordance with law.

06.04.2018
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No