

CRM-M-9996-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9996-2018

Date of Decision:01.08.2018

Tarsem Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Salil Dev Singh Bali, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Tarsem Singh has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.271 dated 22.09.2017, registered at Police Station Sadar Ferozepur, District Ferozepur, under Sections 364, 120-B and 149 IPC and Sections 302, 404 and 201 IPC added later on.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that FIR, in the present case, was got registered by complainant-Harjit Kaur. It is mentioned in the FIR that Manjit Singh, husband of the complainant developed illicit relations with

CRM-M-9996-2018

Kulwinder Kaur and he used to reside in her house. As per the allegations in the FIR, the complainant asked many times Kulwinder Kaur about the whereabouts of Manjit Singh, but she stated that he had gone to Hazoor Sahib. It is mentioned in the FIR that the complainant has a suspicion that her husband had been kidnapped by Kulwinder Kaur, Kartar Singh, Gurpreet Singh, Tarsem Singh, Jagga Singh, Parwinder Singh and others in order to kill him.

As per learned State counsel, dead body has not been recovered in this case and there is last seen evidence.

Challan has already been presented. The petitioner has been in custody since 02.10.2017. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping him in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

01.08.2018

parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No