

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-9969 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: March 20, 2018

Sandeep Mehta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. L.S. Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mrs. Anju Arora, Addl. AG Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.33 dated 18.04.2014, under Sections 406/498-A of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Women Cell Jalandhar City, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the matter stands amicably resolved between the parties and in terms of the said compromise, they have decided to part ways by filing a petition under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act. The petitioner herein has agreed to pay a sum of Rs.2,50,000/- towards full and final settlement.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Balbir Chand, does not dispute the fact that the matter stands compromised between the

parties.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the fact that the matter stands amicably settled between the parties, no useful purpose would be served by sending the petitioner behind the bars, as such, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is directed to join the investigation within a period of ten days and on his doing so, the petitioner be released on anticipatory bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

March 20, 2018
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No