

Sr. No.219

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-993 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.01.2018

Preeti

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner seeks benefit of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.279 dated 21.07.2017, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 506 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Kundli, District Sonapat.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

FIR came to be registered on the statement of Mohit son of Sh. Lakhmi Chand. Allegations are that the complainant along with certain other has been duped a sum of Rs.45 lacs on the pretext of getting job in the Railways.

Investigation in the case is complete and the challan stands presented. Trial is stated to be at the very initial stage.

The present petitioner is stated to be implicated on the basis that she had issued 03 cheques for a total sum of Rs.06 lacs approximately towards return of their money.

It is contention raised by counsel that even as per complainant's version the entire entrustment of money is to Kuldeep i.e. husband of the petitioner. Further more the cheques issued by the petitioner were at the behest of her husband and she was otherwise not involved in the entire deal.

Petitioner has faced incarceration since 13.09.2017.

Petitioner is a lady having a minor child aged 3 years approximately.

In the totality of the circumstances and particularly in view of the fact that there is no entrustment of the amount to the petitioner, she is held entitled to the benefit of bail.

Petition is allowed. Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Sonapat.

Disposed of.

24.01.2018

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No