

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**Crl. Misc. No. M-989 of 2018
Date of Decision: 16.4.2018**

Sunita

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. J.S.Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Sharmila.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J

Through the instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 135 dated 5.10.2017, registered under Sections 498-A, 406, 323, 376, 34 IPC, Police Station Women Sector 16-A, Faridabad.

Counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order dated 12.1.2018, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and the complainant is living in the matrimonial home.

State counsel states that the petitioner has joined the investigation. She further states that as per their information the complainant is living in the matrimonial home. State counsel also submits that Sections 313 and 377 IPC had been added.

Looking to the circumstances, but without commenting on the

merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 12.1.2018 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

April 16, 2018
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No