

206/A.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-988-2018

Date of decision:06.08.2018.

LAKHWINDER SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr.Rishu Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Pal Singh Tinna, Additional Advocate General,
Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.212 dated 21.11.2017 registered under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 120-B of IPC at Police Station Mehatpur, District Jalandhar.

The allegation against the petitioner is that he is a signatory to the sale deed executed on 05.09.2016 by Harpal Singh by impersonating his own brother Gopal Singh who had already died on 31.01.2008.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner is only the signatory to the sale deed. He has neither identified the accused nor is a beneficiary.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Gurwinder Singh, states that the petitioner has signed the sale deed

impersonated Gopal Singh. Even the anticipatory bail petition filed by the co-accused Balwant Singh, who was Lambardar and was signatory to the sale deed, has also been dismissed by this Court vide judgment dated 20.02.2018 passed in CRM-M-4712-2018 titled as Balwant Singh Versus State of Punjab.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the petitioner has signed the sale deed whereby co-accused Harpal Singh impersonated his own brother Gopal Singh, who had died on 31.01.2008 whereas the sale deed was executed on 05.09.2016, this Court finds that the petitioner does not deserve the concession of grant of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

06.08.2018
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No