

215.

Sunil
2018.07.20 16:41
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-9704 of 2018
Date of decision : 19.07.2018**

BHUPESH KUMAR

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rimple Saini, Advocate for
Mr. Narender Kaajla, Advocate
for the complainant.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.361 dated 15.10.2017, registered under Section 346 IPC (Section 306/34 IPC added later on), Police Station City Tohana, District Fatehabad.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner himself had lodged a missing report and his wife had died due to drowning and was found on the bank of a canal and the gold jewellery was worn by the deceased at the time of death. Counsel says that the two children are with petitioner's family and one child is abnormal. Counsel for the petitioner says that the petitioner is in custody since 25.10.2017 and out of 16 witnesses only 5 witnesses have been examined. The counsel states that Manjit and Kewal who were present in the Panchayat were not added as witnesses.

Crl. Misc. No.M-9704 of 2018

-2-

The trial will take time to conclude. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

19.07.2018
Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No