

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANIDGARH**

104

**CRM-M No.96 of 2018
Date of Decision: 04.01.2018**

Anil Kumar and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. G.S. Rawat, Advocate for the petitioners.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

Petitioners are present in Court. They are duly identified by their counsel. Petitioners allege that they have married against the wishes of their parents. Petitioners in this regard have submitted a representation to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to look into the representation (Annexure P-6).

In the meantime, respondents No.2 and 3 shall ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners.

Needless to say that this order shall not be construed as a finding on the validity of the marriage.

If the petitioners are found to be involved in any criminal case, this order would not come in the way of the Investigating Agency to take action in accordance with law.

Hence, this petition is disposed of.

04.01.2018
D.Bansal

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No