

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9596-2018

Date of Decision:17.05.2018

Meenacshi Verma

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Kanwaljit Singh, Sr. Advocate,
with Mr.Abhinav Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.V.G.Jauhar, Sr.D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr.Gaurav Dhir, Advocate
for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel on instructions from the police official present in Court to assist him, submits that the petitioner has continued to join investigation and presently her custodial interrogation is not required.

Learned counsel for the complainant however, opposes the order granting interim bail to the petitioner, being made absolute.

Considering that the investigating agency itself has stated that it does not require the petitioners' custodial interrogation, I see no reason to not make the order dated 22.03.2018, absolute.

Ordered accordingly.

However, as regards the merits of the case, for or against the petitioner, no comment is being made by this Court, which would be gone into by the investigating agency and the competent Court at the appropriate stage, on the basis of evidence gathered/led.

May 17, 2018

dharamvir

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO