

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

260

**CRM-M-9501-2018 (O&M)
Decided on : 02.08.2018**

Sunil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

. ..Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr.Dinesh Nagar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Nibha, Advocate for respondent No.2.

P.B.BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

In the instant petition, petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No.26 dated 23.05.2012 under Sections 323/324 IPC registered at Police Station Behram, District SBS Nagar (Nawanshahr), on the basis of compromise Annexure P-4 arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that FIR is an outcome of a sudden quarrel and now has been resolved. The injuries are on non-vital parts of the body of complainant.

This Court by the order dated 07.03.2018 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to veracity of the compromise. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar has sent his report dated 30.07.2018 wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, statements of the parties were recorded and compromise has been effected

without any fear or undue influence.

In view of the law laid down in “*Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab*” 2014 (6) SCC 466, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.26 dated 23.05.2012 under Sections 323/324 IPC registered at Police Station Behram, District SBS Nagar (Nawanshahr) and all consequential proceedings arising there from, are hereby quashed against the petitioner subject to payment of litigation cost of Rs.3000/- to State of Punjab by him. Cost shall be remitted in the Treasury, State of Haryana within a period of 2 months from today.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

02.08.2018

pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No