

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9484-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.8.2018

Anjana and another

...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Krishan M. Vohra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.
Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Neeraj Saini, Advocate, for
Mr. Lalit Sharma, Advocate,
for respondents No.4 to 6.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for respondents No.4 to 6 submits that respondents No.4 to 6 have given affidavits to the effect that they would neither interfere in the married life of the petitioners at any point of time nor will interfere in future.

In view of the above, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and disposed of as such. However, in case in future the petitioners feels/apprehends any threats at the hands of the private respondents, they can move an application/representation for providing adequate security to Superintendent of Police, Panchkula.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

August 30, 2018

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether reportable :

Yes/No