

258-2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.9332 of 2018

Date of Decision : 29.05.2018

Baldev Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Ms. Sukhdeep Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Ms. Pushpinder Kaur, Advocate
for the complainant/respondents No.2 to 5.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are accused in cross-case bearing DDR No.21, dated 21.06.2016, under Sections 323, 324, 341 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Ajnala, District Amritsar Rural, (Annexure P-2), in case F.I.R. No.0085, dated 16.06.2016, under Sections 323, 324, 325, 148 and 149 of IPC, registered at Police Station Ajnala, District Amritsar Rural and Section 326 of IPC was added later on, (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of D.D.R. with all subsequent proceedings on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by her. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with

respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Ld. Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Ajnala vide report dated 08.05.2018 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondents No. 2 to 5 are represented by their counsel and do not oppose the factum of compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Ld. Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Ajnala, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "**Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another**", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant/respondent No.2 and respondents No.3 to 5 have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. DDR No.21, dated 21.06.2016, under Sections 323, 324, 341 and 506 of IPC, registered at Police Station Ajnala, District Amritsar Rural, (Annexure P-2), in case F.I.R. No.0085, dated 16.06.2016, under Sections 323, 324, 325, 148 and 149 of IPC, registered at Police Station Ajnala, District Amritsar Rural and Section 326 of IPC was added later on, (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

29.05.2018
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No