

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-923-2018

Date of decision : 22.03.2018

Balwinder Singh @ Binder

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.D.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.J.S. Walia, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Even today learned counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Jagir Singh, submits that as a matter of fact only 3 prosecution witnesses have been examined, with their cross-examination still to be conducted, essentially because one of the co-accused is not appearing.

The petitioner not having been apprehended at the spot and a co-accused similarly placed already having been admitted to bail more than one year ago on 14.03.2017, vide an order passed in CRM-M-4934-2017, the petitioner now having been in custody for almost 1½ years with the trial nowhere near conclusion, I consider it appropriate to allow this petition and to admit the petitioner to bail upon his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Ordered accordingly.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

March 22, 2018.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No