

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Criminal Revision No. 2994 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.1.2018

Vimal Rai Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Sanjay Jain, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a revision that has been filed to challenge the order dated 6.6.2017 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Ambala, whereby the petitioner has been summoned to face trial in case FIR No. 75 dated 3.9.2016 registered under Sections 3, 4, 7 and 8 of POCSO Act and Sections 294, 328, 506 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Women Ambala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the impugned order is not sustainable on account of the fact that during the investigation, the petitioner herein was found innocent and it is thereafter that an application under Section 319 of the Code of Criminal Procedure was filed by the prosecution in which the petitioner has been summoned.

At this stage, appearance has been caused on behalf of the complainant, who submits that this revision has been rendered infructuous on account of the fact that charges have been framed against the petitioner

on 7.11.2017.

In view of the fact that charges have already been framed against the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant revisoin with liberty to take all the pleas available to him in defence.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

18.1.2018
prem

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No