

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(135) CRM-M-9116-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.03.2018
Dilawar Singh ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. H.S. Deol, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though upon a complaint having been made to various authorities (Annexure P-1), even including the Chief Minister of Punjab, the petitioner was summoned by the Inspector of Police, Vigilance Department, Hoshiarpur, vide the communication Annexure P-3, no further action is being taken thereafter.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police (Vigilance), Jalandhar (respondent no.3), to take action as is warranted as per law, by treating this petition to be a representation by the petitioner, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion whatsoever on the actual merits of what is stated by the petitioner in this petition, which would be gone into by the SSP.

22.03.2018
vcgarg

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no