

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Ctrl. Misc. No. M-9112 of 2018
Date of Decision: March 05, 2018

Roop Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: None for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for issuance of appropriate directions to the official respondents for protecting him from the harassment of facing the enquiries time and again at the instance of private respondents.

The last application filed by respondent No. 9 against the petitioner is dated 06.01.2018 (Annexure P-7)

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 6.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 6. Let seven copies of petition be supplied to him by tomorrow.

In view of the nature of order that is being passed there is no necessity to issue notice to private respondents No. 7 to 10 at this stage.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No. 3 to enquire into the allegations made in the representation dated 17.02.2018 (Annexure P-8) and associate the petitioner in the said enquiry. Thereafter, appropriate directions be issued as may be deemed fit in accordance with law.

March 05, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No