

**Sr. No.207
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-9013 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 19.04.2018

Bhagwanti and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. K.S.Dhanora, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Additional A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

CRM No.13291 of 2018

Application is allowed, as prayed for.

The accompanying compromise deed dated 20.03.2018
is taken on record as Annexure P-5.

Application disposed of.

Main Case

This order shall dispose of the instant petition filed
under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail to the
petitioners in criminal complaint No.85-I, RBT-I dated 17.07.2012
under Sections 420, 466, 467, 468, 471, 504, 506 and 120-B IPC,
registered at Police Station Adampur, District Hisar.

While issuing notice of motion, the following order was
passed by this Court on 01.03.2018:-

“The instant petition has been filed under

Section 438 Cr.P.C seeking the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners in Criminal Complaint No.85-I, RBT-I dated 17.07.2012 under sections 420, 466, 467, 468, 471, 504, 506 and 120-B I.P.C, registered at Police Station, Adampur, District Hisar.

Even though, counsel would concede that the petitioners herein having evaded trial proceedings were declared Proclaimed Offenders, yet, it is contended that a comprehensive settlement has been entered into with the complainant/Mohan Lal (respondent no.2 herein).

Notice of motion, returnable for 19.4.2018.

At this stage, Mr. T.P.S. Bhatti, Advocate, who is present in Court on behalf of Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate for complainant/respondent no.2 has filed his Power of Attorney.

Counsel for the complainant/respondent no.2 concedes to the factum of a compromise having been effected.

The dispute is essentially civil in nature.

Counsel for the parties are directed to place on record the compromise/settlement upon reducing the same in writing.

In the meanwhile, it is directed that in case the petitioners duly appear before the Trial Court on the date already fixed i.e. 13.3.2018, they would be entitled to interim bail subject to satisfaction of the Trial Court.”

In terms of directions issued by this Court, copy of the compromise/settlement has been placed on record at Annexure P-5.

That apart counsel has furnished for perusal of this Court copy of order dated 13.03.2018 passed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hisar and a perusal thereof would reveal that both the petitioners duly appeared before the trial Court and were

admitted to interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the tune of Rs.50,000/- each with one surety in the like amount.

Petitioners having already joined trial proceedings, prayer made in the petition is accepted.

Order dated 01.03.2018, passed by this Court is made absolute.

Disposed of.

19.04.2018

vandana

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No