

211-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.8869 of 2018

Date of Decision : 21.05.2018

Manjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Ravi K. Mattoo, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat S. Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he has joined investigation in compliance of the earlier order.

2. Perused Case Diary.

3. There is no tangible material available to justify custodial interrogation of the petitioner since the FIR does not disclose anywhere when possession of the disputed room was allegedly taken over by the petitioner after the original agreement to sell.

4. Consequently, the interim bail granted to the petitioner earlier is hereby confirmed

5. Disposed off.

May 21, 2018

Dpr

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No