

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1891-2011 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.04.2018

Ravinder Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Punjab State Electricity Board and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. H.S. Saggu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Meena Bansal, Advocate
for the respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, petitioner has challenged the orders dated 7.4.1998, 25.7.2000 and 7.12.2010 (Annexures P-4, P-5 and P-7 respectively).

2. Petitioner is stated to have been appointed in the year 1983 and have rendered 510 days of service. Thereafter, he was once again re-appointed on 17.11.1997 with reference to the fact that he has earlier rendered service with the respondent. On 7.4.1998, respondents have cancelled the order of appointment issued on 17.11.1997 on the score that he had submitted bogus service certificate to the extent that he had rendered 510 days of service. Feeling aggrieved by the cancellation of order of appointment dated 7.4.1998, he raised industrial dispute. During pendency of the industrial dispute initiated on 25.02.2000, respondents have proceeded to hold inquiry. Accordingly, his services have been dismissed on 25.7.2000. Petitioner has failed to question the order of dismissal dated 25.7.2000 before the Industrial Tribunal by taking a fresh reference in view of the later development. On the other hand, he pursued the reference No.20 of 2000 relating to cancellation of order of appointment dated 7.4.1998.

Even during the pendency of the reference, he failed to challenge Annexure P-5 dated 25.7.2000 by which he has been dismissed from service. In the absence of challenge to Annexure P-5 dismissal order, there is no infirmity in the award passed by the Labour Court dated 7.12.2010.

3. Accordingly, petition stands dismissed.

16.04.2018
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/No**

Whether reportable **Yes/No**