

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

212

**CRM-M No. 8852 of 2018
Date of Decision : 11.09.2018**

Ninu @ Gurpreet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. D.K.Bhatti, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil K.Chopra, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

P.B.BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, petitioner seek anticipatory bail under the provision of Section 438 Cr.P.C. in FIR No.176 dated 17.09.2017 (wrongly mentioned as dated 16.09.2017 in the order of Ld. ASJ, Jalandhar dated 07.02.2018 (Annexure P/5) under Sections 307, 323, 325, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Division No. 8, District Jalandhar (Annexure P-1).

2. This Court vide order dated 01.03.2018 had directed the petitioner to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Balkaran Singh states that in pursuance to the order dated 01.03.2018 petitioner has joined investigation. Petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation.

4. In view of these factual aspect and circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 01.03.2018, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

5. However, the petitioner shall not in any way indulge in any criminal activities and he shall also not influence the witnesses or other wise interfere with the fair trial.

6. Accordingly, the petition stands allowed.

11.09.2018

pooja saini

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No