

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.8764 of 2018

Date of Decision: 17.04.2018

Nirmal Singh and another

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Dhivya Jerath, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Simranjeet Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rupam Chadha, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Raj Shekhar Attri, J. (Oral)

By invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner has prayed for quashing of FIR No.07 dated 18.01.2018 for offence punishable under Sections 295-A, 336, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') registered Police Station at Division B, Police Commissionerate Amritsar, District Amritsar, and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexures P-2) arrived at between the parties.

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Gurmukh Singh son of late Shri Kartar Singh. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved by way of compromise Annexures P-2.

Vide order dated 01.03.2018, the parties were directed to appear before the illaqa magistrate/trial court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

CRM-M No.8764 of 2018

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties recorded and compromise entered between the parties is genuine, voluntarily and out of free will.

Counsel for the State and respondent No.2 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioners, respondent No.2 (complainant) have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543** and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed, FIR No.07 dated 18.01.2018 for offence punishable under Sections 295-A, 336, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') registered at Division B, Police Commissionerate Amritsar, District Amritsar, and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioners.

[RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI]
JUDGE

April 17, 2018
sachin

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No