

**Sr. No.202
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-8750 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.07.2018

Nirmal Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Anil Kumar Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Garg, AAG, Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

This is the third petition preferred by the petitioner under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking benefit of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.99 dated 14.07.2016, under Sections 21(B), 21 (C), 22(A), 22 (C) and 29 of the NDPS Act and Section 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Ismailabad, District Kurukshetra.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

As per prosecution version, the alleged recovery effected from the petitioner is of 1610 tablets of 'Alprazolam'.

State counsel would vehemently oppose the petition by stating that the alleged recovery would be seen as heavy and commercial in nature.

Under such circumstances, this Court is not inclined to accept the prayer of regular bail to the petitioner.

Be that as it may the right of a speedy trial to an

accused/under trial cannot be over emphasized. It has gone uncontroverted that petitioner has faced incarceration since 13.07.2016.

State counsel upon instructions from ASI Puran Dass apprises the Court that the trial has made substantial progress inasmuch as out of 17 prosecution witnesses cited, 15 have already been examined.

In view of the above and while declining a prayer for regular bail, the instant petition is disposed of with directions to the trial Court to expedite the trial and to in any case conclude the same within a period of 03 months from today.

Petition is disposed of.

06.07.2018

vandana

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No