

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.8695 of 2018
Date of Decision: 22.03.2018**

**Mukhtiar Singh
Vs**

.....Petitioner

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. K.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.52 dated 27.03.2017 registered under Sections 22/61/85 of NDPS Act at Police Station Goraya, District Jalandhar.

Petitioner was granted interim bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like amount. The compliance of said order was not done. In the meantime, FSL report has been received, wherein 110 grams of intoxicant powder was found containing Alprazolam. Recovery of contraband is marginally above than the prescribed

limit for non-commercial quantity.

Learned counsel for the petitioner placed reliance upon **Shinda Vs. State of Punjab, 2013(3) RCR (Criminal) 557** and **Lakhwinder Singh @ Bittu Vs. State of Punjab, 2012(22) RCR (Criminal) 301.**

Learned State Counsel on instructions from ASI Sanjeev Kumar submitted that the petitioner is also involved in two more cases of NDPS Act. In one case, he has already been acquitted and second case is pending, in which he is on bail.

In view of above, at this stage, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

March 22, 2018.

Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No